UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

	Christian Christian	-	The party of the p	
--	---------------------	---	--	--

2008 JUN 17 AM 8: 46

		2000 CON 17 AM 8: 46				
TC FR	O: U.S. DISTRIC	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT Adverthern DISTRICT COU				
CASE NO.: 07cv2315 J (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner						
CASE TITLE: Pressly v. Haws						
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Application for Further Enlargment of Time						
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:						
✓	Local Rule	Discrepancy				
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation				
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper				
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.				
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely				
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document				
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions				
	7.1	Missing table of contents				
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself				
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order				
		Supplemental documents require court order				
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest				
X		OTHER: Not in pleading format.				
		Date forwarded: <u>6/12/2008</u>				
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE						
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:						
X	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.					
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.						
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers					
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: Adley					
	Dated: $\frac{6/13}{\text{cc: All Parties}}$	CHAMBERS OF: Adler By: H Hunt				